
A Comprehensive Approach to Chronic Trigger Finger: A1 Pulley Stretching and Dry Needling

Anand Chandra Sahoo ^{a*}, Sonali Soumyashree ^a
and Charuhasini Mahapatra ^a

DOI: 10.9734/bpi/nramms/v9/6466B

Peer Review History:

This chapter was reviewed by following the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. This chapter was thoroughly checked to prevent plagiarism. As per editorial policy, a minimum of two peer-reviewers reviewed the manuscript. After review and revision of the manuscript, the Book Editor approved the manuscript for final publication. Peer review comments, comments of the editor(s), etc. are available here: <https://peerreviewarchive.com/review-history/6466B>

ABSTRACT

Trigger finger (TF) is a frequent condition that is more common in women in their fifth decade of life. It is caused by the trapping of the flexor digitorum superficial and flexor digitorum profundus tendons as they travel through fibro-osseous tunnels of the wrist, palm, and hand digits. This results in discomfort and stiffness, limiting daily activities. Corticosteroid injections are the first line of conservative treatment before surgery is performed. Physiotherapy has proven to be extremely effective in the treatment of trigger fingers. We described a 75-year-old woman who had persistent trigger finger pain and stiffness. Ultrasound, tendon gliding exercises, mobilization together with A1 pulley stretching, and dry needling were applied over a two-week period. Pre- and post-test scores of pains and activity limitation were obtained.

The findings showed that Pain scores decreased from 6 to 0 on the Nottingham Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) scale, and activity limitation decreased from 67.5 to 10.5% on the patient-rated wrist evaluation (PRWE) scale. Two innovative techniques that is the A1 pulley and dry needling along with ultrasound, tendon glide exercise, and mobilization are found to be effective in managing chronic trigger finger.

Keywords: *A1 pulley stretching; chronic trigger finger; dry needling; pain; physiotherapy.*

ABBREVIATIONS

TF : Trigger Finger
NPRS : Nottingham Rating Pain Scale
PRWE : Patient-rated Wrist/Hand Evaluation

^a Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine & Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, PIN: 752101, India.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sahooanand111@gmail.com;

OPD : Outpatient Department
PA : Postero-anterior
AP : Antero-posterior
MRC : Medical Research Council

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Trigger Finger

Trigger finger is a common finger ailment, thought to be caused by inflammation and subsequent narrowing of the A1 pulley, which causes pain, clicking, catching, and loss of motion of the affected finger. Although it can occur in anyone, it is seen more frequently in the diabetic population and in women, typically in the fifth to sixth decade of life [1-4]. Trigger finger, also known as stenosing tenovaginitis or tenosynovitis, is a common hand condition that affects 2 to 20% of people worldwide. Patients' ages ranged from 50 to 84 years, with a mean age of 63 years [5]. It happens as a result of the flexor digitorum profundus and superficial tendon becoming impaled as they go through the fibro-osseous tunnels of the wrist, palm, and hand digits. The inflammation may cause the tendon to become nodular. It most commonly occurs in the ring finger and the thumb but can present in any finger [6]. The patient presents with a locking, popping sensation due to nodule formation which is a swelling of the tendon due to repetitive use of the tendon [7]. Overuse trauma, diabetes, and carpal tunnel syndrome are all risk factors for the development of trigger fingers. The thumb and ring finger of the dominant hand are most affected [8]. The condition develops gradually due to overuse and resolves spontaneously within 6 months [9]. According to Green's classification triggering, active, passive movement, and contracture [10].

Males are more affected than females [11].

1.2 Trigger Finger, Therapeutic Approach, and Its Effect

Trigger fingers can be managed conservatively or surgically. Common surgical techniques used are tenolysis either by percutaneous release or by a transverse incision in the distal palmar crease and endoscopic surgery. These techniques have potential complications [12] like tendon injury, infections, fat necrosis, and cutaneous discomfort [13]. Conservative treatment is the combination of steroid injections, ice, activity modification, reduced gripping activities, and physiotherapeutic interventions. Various techniques have been developed in recent times to improve the comorbidities associated with the trigger finger. Dry needling in combination with an A1 pulley can be a new therapeutic approach to managing chronic trigger finger [13], along with conventional strategies avoiding the need for surgery. Dry needling uses thin monofilament needles without injecting into the muscle tendons ligaments and subcutaneous fascia [14]. Stretching of the A1 pulley with isometric contraction of the flexor tendon is also a newly explored technique to stretch the A1 pulley effectively and break the scarring around the tendon. The examiner pushes the middle finger toward the dorsal side during the examination. An active flexor tendon contraction force by

the subject and a counteracting flexor tendon force by resistance from the examiner can generate a contact force that expands the first annular (A1) pulley toward the palm side. A combination of A1 pulley stretching and conventional training is effective in reducing pain and improving functions significantly [15] (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Taken from Shinya Tanaka et al in 2021¹⁰

1.3 Objective

To determine if A1 pulley stretch and dry needling combined with tendon gliding exercise, mobilization techniques and ultrasound is effective in chronic trigger finger.

1.4 Research Question

Is treating chronic trigger finger with A1 pulley stretch and dry needling in addition to conservative management effective?

1.5 Research Hypothesis

A1 pulley stretch and dry needling combined with ultrasound, tendon gliding exercise, and mobilization are effective in chronic trigger finger.

1.6 Population of the Study

The target population for this study comprised 1 patient from an orthopedic OPD of a physiotherapy college, in Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

2. CASE REPORT

A 75-year-old women patient came to Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine and Research Institute with a complaint of pain in the middle and ring finger of the right hand while making a fist along with pain while opening the fist since the last 10 years with a popping sensation in both the middle and ring fingers and difficulty in gripping in the last 3 months. She was all right before 10 years when gradually she felt discomfort in the middle finger and took painkillers on the advice of an orthopedic. She also took homeopathic medication, but no relief was

obtained. Five years ago, she developed similar symptoms in her ring finger which limited her gripping activities at home. The pain increased gradually over the years, and in the last 3 months, she developed a clicking/popping sensation while opening a fist with more increase in pain and discomfort which led her to use her left hand for all the gripping activities and avoid using the right hand. She then visited an orthopedic surgeon who recommended her for surgery and prescribed painkillers. Investigations showed a negative Rh factor. Any X-ray and MRI were not advised. The patient had a history of hypothyroidism for 7 years and she is on medication for the same. The patient is a housewife who has been gardening for 5–6 hours a day for the last 15 years. The patient then reported to our department. Assessment of the pain showed a gradual onset of dull aching type intermittent in nature. Gripping activity aggravates the pain and rest relieves her symptoms. On observation, the patient is having difficulty straightening her middle and ring fingers on the table (Fig. 2). On palpation, the patient has tenderness grade 1 on the middle interphalangeal joint of the middle finger and grade 2 on the middle interphalangeal joint of the ring finger. The most tender area on the ring and middle fingers were appreciated as the nodule/trigger point. On examination, the flexion range of motion of the middle interphalangeal joint of the middle and ring finger was limited by 10° on the ring finger and 15° on the middle finger. The range of motion recorded was middle finger $0-95^\circ$ and ring finger $0-100^\circ$; PIP and DIP flexion and finger extension range were full in both the middle and ring fingers. Muscle power was assessed by MRC grading of manual muscle testing. The recordings are as follows: right finger flexor (flexor digitorum superficialis) of the middle finger is 4 and of the ring finger is 3+. Joint play movements testing revealed hypomobility. AP and PA glide of the middle interphalangeal joint of both the middle finger and ring finger were reduced. Joint play movements at the distal IP joint and MCP joint were normal to assess pain and disability in the activity of daily living, the “patient-rated wrist/hand evaluation” (PRWE) scale [15] was used. The baseline score recorded was 67.5% (Table 1). The Quinell grading [14] of the trigger finger was used to rate the severity of the trigger finger. The scale recorded a grade of 4 (intermittent locking, actively correctable) in our subject. To differentiate this case from Dupuytren’s contracture, a Hueston tabletop test was done which came negative, and to differentiate this case from rheumatoid arthritis, screening of other joints and blood examination were done, which showed Rh factor negative.



Fig. 2. Pre-intervention observation of trigger finger of right palm

From the above history and clinical findings, a conclusion was reached for a case of a trigger finger. Based on tissue recovery time (7 days), 2 weeks was thought to be effective enough for the intervention using a combined approach primarily focusing on pain reduction and restoration of activity of daily living. Azizan et al. have shown 1 week of dry needling is effective. For follow-up, 2 weeks were taken [14].

2.1 Physiotherapeutic Intervention

Physiotherapeutic intervention

A 2-week advanced and conventional physiotherapy program was planned. Conservative management was aimed at reducing pain and improving functional activities. Baseline scores of pains and disability were measured by NPRS (primary outcome measure) and PRWE (secondary outcome measure).

Conventional technique

For pain reduction contrast bath method was used for 15 min, to improve mobility anterior–posterior (A-P) and posterior-anterior (P-A) glide (grade 2 mobilization) of the middle interphalangeal joint (10 repetitions, 2 sets, 15-s rest) [16,17,18], therapeutic ultrasound [19] (in pulse mode 1w/cm² for 8 min over the flexor tendon of middle and ring finger with a hand resting on a pillow and fingers slightly abducted) and tendon gliding exercise 10 repetition 3 sets twice daily was given [20]. Tendon gliding exercises performed were rest, straight, hook, full fat, tabletop, and a straight fit [21] night splint [22] Along with the conventional technique, the advanced technique of A1 pulley stretches and dry needling was also given.

A1 pulley Stretch

The subject was made to sit in a comfortable sitting position on a chair with the right palm placed over the couch. The patient's middle and ring finger were placed on a wooden block alternatively at an angle of 45° each. The subject was asked to fix the ring or middle finger, and simultaneous resistance was given by the therapist producing an isometric contraction of the finger flexors of the middle and ring fingers. The intervention was given for 10 repetitions 3 sets for a total of 30 contractions with 15-s rest once daily for 2 weeks for a total of 14 sessions [15] (Fig. 3).

Dry needling

Two sessions of dry needling with disposable stainless-steel needles (0.25×13) inserted over the nodule in the A1 pulley anatomical location. We used a fast-in-fast-out method of dry needling with the needle inserted at a 45° angle at the level of the nodule giving the appearance of a cone shape. The insertion of the needle into the tendon was confirmed by observing the movement of the needle while the subject flexed and extended the distal phalanx [13] (Fig. 4). During the

procedure, the hand of the subject was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol and the therapist used latex gloves during the procedure. To avoid needle stick injury, disposal was done in an appropriate sharp container. Care was taken not to insert the needle on the joint but on the nodule of the trigger finger.




Fig. 3. A1 pulley stretch in 45 degrees of flexion metatarsophalangeal joint, the wooden block was placed at a 45-degree angle to maintain the position throughout the treatment



Fig. 4. Dry needling of A1 pulley

3. RESULTS

We used a mixed approach for treating the trigger finger conservatively. After 2 weeks of training the pain scores measured by NPRS reduced from 6 to 3 in the 1st week and 0 in the second week. Similarly, the PRWE scale showed a reduction in activity limitation from 67.5 to 38.5% in the 1st week and 10.5% in the second week. The baseline scores and post-intervention scores after the 1st week and 2nd week are given in Table 1 and Fig. 5. No adverse effects were found during or after the treatment.

Table 1. Pre-post score of the case for pain and functional disability

Outcomes	NRPS	PRWE Scale
Baseline score	6	67.5%
7 Days after intervention score	3	38.5%
15 Days after intervention score	0	10.5%




Fig. 5. Pre- and post-NPRS & PRWE Scores




Fig. 6. Post-intervention observation of trigger finger of right palm

4. DISCUSSION

The results of this case study found improvement in a chronic trigger finger case with conservative and advanced physiotherapy techniques (Fig. 6). In conservative management, ultrasound sound wave causes tissue vibration, creating heat in the tissue, increasing blood flow in the tissue, and removing inflammatory exudates [19–21]. Contrast bath use increases blood flow by the contraction and relaxation of the blood vessels which helps to reduce pain [19]. Along with the conservative treatment, an advanced technique such as A1 pulley

stretching and dry needling was applied. A1 pulley stretching is a beneficial treatment for the trigger finger that helps to increase the cross-sectional area of the A1 pulley luminal region, releasing the tension over the tendon and allowing its movement freely within the tendon sheath [21]. A previous study suggested A1 pulley stretching improves 31.4 to 43.6% cross-sectional area respectively which helps to reduce the symptoms of trigger finger [15]. The improvement in the scores of patients who rated wrist hand evaluation (PRWE) could have been the results of the A1 pulley stretch. This study also found improvement in NPRS scores for pain. This significant decrease in pain after 2 weeks of intervention could be due to the central effect of dry needling (i.e., activation of various sensory pathways and noxious inhibit control system resulting in neuromodulator in pain signaling). It also activates spinal segmental pain inhibitory and descending pain control pathways. Needle manipulation stimulates the release of endogenous opioids which is a mechanism of pain suppression in the periphery and spinal cord level secondary to needling treatment. Dry needling also has been shown to reduce the thickness of the flexor tendon after 1 week of dry needling. This study also found similar results were following 2 weeks of dry needling, improvement in the PRWE scores was seen [14]. The reduction in pain scores measured by NPRS and disability scores measured by the PRWE scale can be attributed to a combined effect of the abovementioned mechanisms. Ultrasound and contrast bath by vasodilatation helped in removing inflammatory exudates and dry needling activated the pain gate mechanism thereby reducing pain whereas A1 pulley stretch decreased the tensile force thus allowing movement and reducing disability. The major limitation of this study was follow-up was not assessed after post-intervention. The findings of this report can also be used in trigger thumb. Further studies with a controlled group with a larger sample size can be conducted for better evidence. Long-term follow-up should be taken to the consistency of the treatment.

5. CONCLUSION

The results of this study found the combination of two advanced techniques A1 pulley and dry needling along with conventional physiotherapy is effective in managing a chronic case of trigger finger. More studies should be conducted in the future using these techniques in acute conditions as well.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. We affirm that the submission represents an original work that has not been published previously and is not currently being considered by another journal. Also, we confirm that each author has seen and approved the contents of the submitted manuscript. This work was carried out in collaboration with all authors. Author AS designed the study, author SS wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author CM managed the data collection for the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data collected and/or analyzed during the study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Declarations Ethics approval and consent to participate the study was done at Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine and Research Institute, Bhubaneswar. Before this study ethical clearance was taken from the ethical committee of the institute and consent was taken from the patient. The study is not a clinical trial, so no clinical trial registration has been done. Before the start of the study, each procedure was explained to the patient, and written consent was taken for the same.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the participants for taking part in this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Colbourn J, Heath N, Manary S, Pacifico D. Effectiveness of splinting for the treatment of trigger finger. *Journal of hand therapy*. 2008;21(4):336-43.
2. Makkouk AH, Oetgen ME, Swigart CR, Dodds SD. Trigger finger: Etiology, evaluation, and treatment. *Current reviews in musculoskeletal medicine*. 2008;1:92-6.
3. Fahey JJ, Bollinger JA. Trigger-finger in adults and children. *JBJS*. 1954;36(6):1200-18.
4. Bonnici AV, Spencer JD. A survey of 'trigger finger' in adults. *Journal of Hand Surgery*. 1988;13(2):202-3.
5. JHS N, AHAF L, GVG R, da Silveira DCEC, PN B, Almeida SF. Epidemiology of trigger finger: Metabolic syndrome as a new perspective of associated disease. *Hand (N Y)*. 2021;16(4):542-545. DOI: 10.1177/1558944719867135. Epub 2019 Aug 28. PMID: 31456430; PMCID: PMC8283119.
6. Jeanmonod R, Harberger S, Waseem M. Trigger Finger. In: *StatPearls [Internet]*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. PMID: 29083657.
7. Abid MS. Trigger of all fingers in an 80-year-old male-A case report. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*. 2017;1(5):1508-10.
8. Ferrara PE, Codazza S, Maccauro G, Zirio G, Ferriero G, Ronconi G. Physical therapies for the conservative treatment of the trigger finger: A narrative review. *Orthop Rev (Pavia)*. 2020;12(Suppl 1):8680. DOI 10.4081/or.2020.8680. PMID: 32913608; PMCID: PMC7459363.

9. Vasiladis AV, Itsiopoulos I. Trigger Finger: An atraumatic medical phenomenon. *J Hand Surg Asian Pac Vol.* 2017;22(2):188-193.
DOI: 10.1142/S021881041750023X. PMID: 28506168.
10. Johnson E, Stelzer J, Romero AB, Werntz JR. Recognizing and treating trigger finger. *J Fam Pract.* 2021;70(7):334-340.
DOI: 10.12788/jfp.0239. PMID: 34818165.
11. Giugale JM, Fowler JR. Trigger finger: Adult and pediatric treatment strategies. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2015;46(4):561-9.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ocl.2015.06.014. Epub 2015 Aug 13. PMID: 26410644.
12. Ma S, Wang C, Li J, Zhang Z, Yu Y, Lv F. Efficacy of corticosteroid injection for treatment of trigger finger: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Invest Surg.* 2019;32(5):433-441.
DOI 10.1080/08941939.2018.1424970. Epub 2018 Jan 30. PMID: 29381439.
13. Azizian M, Bagheri H, Olyaei G, Shadmehr A, Okhovatpour MA, Dehghan P, Jalaei S, Sarafraz H. Effects of dry needling on tendon-pulley architecture, pain, and hand function in patients with trigger finger: A randomized controlled trial study. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science.* 2019;31(4):295-8.
14. Tanaka S, Uehara K, Sugimura R, Miura T, Ohe T, Tanaka S, Morizaki Y. Evaluation of the first annular pulley stretch effect under isometric contraction of the flexor tendon in healthy volunteers and trigger finger patients using ultrasonography. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders.* 2021;22(1):1-7.
15. MacDermid JC, Tottenham V. Responsiveness of the disability of the arm, shoulder, and hand (DASH) and patient-rated wrist/hand evaluation (PRWHE) in evaluating change after hand therapy. *Journal of Hand Therapy.* 2004;17(1):18-23.
16. Langer D, Maeir A, Michailevich M, Applebaum Y, Luria S. Using the international classification of functioning to examine the impact of trigger finger. *Disability and rehabilitation.* 2016;38(26):2530-7.
17. Peperoni E, Capitani SL, Fiumalbi T, Capotorti E, Baldoni A, Dell'Agnello F, Creatini I, Taglione E, Vitiello N, Trigili E, Crea S. Self-aligning finger exoskeleton for the mobilization of the metacarpophalangeal joint. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering;* 2023.
18. Mason TJ. Therapeutic ultrasound an overview. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry.* 2011;18(4):847-52.
19. Evans RB, Hunter JM, Burkhalter WE. Conservative management of the trigger finger: A new approach. *Journal of Hand Therapy.* 1988;1(2):59-68.
20. Ferrara PE, Codazza S, Maccauro G, Zirio G, Ferriero G, Ronconi G. Physical therapies for the conservative treatment of the trigger finger: A narrative review. *Orthop Rev (Pavia).* 2020;12(Suppl 1):8680.
DOI: 10.4081/or.2020.8680. PMID: 32913608; PMCID: PMC7459363.
21. 17.Yamazaki A, Matsuura Y, Kuniyoshi K, Suzuki T, Akasaka T, Ozone E, Matsuyama Y, Mukai M, Yamazaki T, Ohara T, Sasho T, Ohtori S. A1

pulley stretching treats trigger finger: A1 pulley luminal region under digital flexor tendon traction. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2020;72:136-140.

DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2019.11.018. Epub 2019 Dec 7. PMID: 31865255.

22. Bionka M.A. Huisstede, Peter Hoogvliet, J. Henk Coert, Jan Fridén, for the European HANDGUIDE Group, Multidisciplinary Consensus Guideline for Managing Trigger Finger: Results From the European HANDGUIDE Study, Physical Therapy. 2014;94(10):1421–1433,
Available:<https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130135>

Biography of author(s)



Dr. Anand Chandra Sahoo

Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine & Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, PIN: 752101, India.

He is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Community Physiotherapy, Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine & Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. After completing his Bachelor's degree in physiotherapy, he completed his Master's degree course in physiotherapy in rehabilitation specialty from Swami Vivekanand National Institute of Rehabilitation Training and Research, Cuttack, Odisha, India. He is a dynamic author and educator with four years of experience in the field of clinical and academic. In the academic realm, he is known for his innovative teaching methods and commitment to fostering the intellectual growth of the students. He has published articles in international journals on a periodical basis. His numerous magazine articles promote awareness, preventative measures, and the development of scientific dialogue among interdisciplinary health professionals. His debut chapter in the Book (Novel Research Aspects in Medicine and Medical Science), is a testament in his ability to bridge the gap between academia and the broader literary world.



Dr. Sonali Soumyashree (Assistant Professor, Sri Sri University)

Research and Academic Experience: She is a highly accomplished author, renowned academican, and dedicated healthcare professional. With a passion for imparting knowledge and a deep interest in physical therapy, she has made significant contributions to academia and clinical practice. With more than seven years of experience in teaching, research, and clinical work, she has established herself as a respected authority in her field.

Research Specialization: Her area of research includes neuro rehabilitation, musculoskeletal disorders.

Number of Published papers: She has published 4 research articles to her credit.



Miss Charuhasini Mahapatra

Abhinav Bindra Sports Medicine & Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, PIN: 752101, India.

She is a passionate physiotherapist, and strength and conditioning trainer. Her specialization is in sports physiotherapy where she trained and treated athletes for their events but she not only restricted herself to sports. She has expertise to treat patients with orthopaedic, neurological, and cardiovascular conditions. She had 2 years of experience in research and academics along with 3 international publications. She has worked as a sports physiotherapist for 3 national sports events and 5 inter-regional sports events.

© Copyright (2023): Author(s). The licensee is the publisher (B P International).

DISCLAIMER

This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal. Bulletin of Faculty of Physical Therapy, 28: 27, 2023. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43161-023-00137-8>

Peer Review History:

This chapter was reviewed by following the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. This chapter was thoroughly checked to prevent plagiarism. As per editorial policy, a minimum of two peer-reviewers reviewed the manuscript. After review and revision of the manuscript, the Book Editor approved the manuscript for final publication. Peer review comments, comments of the editor(s), etc. are available here: <https://peerreviewarchive.com/review-history/6466B>